February 4, 2019

Larry Fink, Chairman and CEO
BlackRock
Park Avenue Plaza, 55 East 52nd Street
New York, NY 10055

Dear Mr. Fink,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, BlackRock is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss, as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture. The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs. And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP and others, the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which BlackRock invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies' deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for BlackRock.
- Assuming that BlackRock may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

February 4, 2019

Marcie Frost, CEO
CalPERS
400 Q Street
Sacramento, CA 95811

Dear Ms. Frost,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, CalPERS is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,\(^1\) as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.\(^2\) The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.\(^3\)

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations\(^4\) and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.\(^5\) And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP\(^6\) and others,\(^7\) the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector\(^8\) that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations.\textsuperscript{9} It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:
- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which CalPERS invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for CalPERS.
- Assuming that CalPERS may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

Abigail P. Johnson, Chairman and CEO
Fidelity Investments Corporate Office
82 Devonshire St
Boston, MA 02109

Dear Ms. Johnson,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Fidelity Investments is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss, as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture. The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs. And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP and others, the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations.⁹ It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Fidelity Investments invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Fidelity Investments.
- Assuming that Fidelity Investments may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

February 4, 2019

Gerard K. O’Reilly, Co-CEO and Chief Investment Officer
Dimensional Fund Advisors
Palisades West
6300 Bee Cave Road, Building One
Austin, TX 78746

Dear Mr. O’Reilly,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Dimensional Fund Advisors is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,¹ as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.² The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.³

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations⁴ and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.⁵ And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP⁶ and others,⁷ the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector

entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector\(^8\) that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations.\(^9\) It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Dimensional Fund Advisors invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies' deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Dimensional Fund Advisors.
- Assuming that Dimensional Fund Advisors may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

---


EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator
February 4, 2019

Jamie Dimon, CEO
JPMorgan Chase & Co.
270 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Dear Mr. Dimon,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, JPMorgan Chase & Co is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,¹ as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.² The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.³

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations⁴ and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.⁵ And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP⁶ and others,⁷ the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector⁸ that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which JPMorgan Chase & Co invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies' deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for JPMorgan Chase & Co.
- Assuming that JPMorgan Chase & Co may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---

February 4, 2019

David Iben, Chief Investment Officer
Kopernik Global Investors, LLC
Harbour Place
302 Knights Run Ave, Suite 1225
Tampa, FL 33602

Dear Mr. Iben,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Kopernik Global Investors is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,\(^1\) as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.\(^2\) The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.\(^3\)

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations\(^4\) and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.\(^5\) And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP\(^6\) and others,\(^7\) the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector\(^8\) that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:
- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Kopernik Global Investors invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies' deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Kopernik Global Investors.
- Assuming that Kopernik Global Investors may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MEEKLEY
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

February 4, 2019

Howard Appleby, Founding Partner/Principal
Northern Cross
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110

Dear Mr. Appleby,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Northern Cross is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,1 as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.2 The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.3

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations4 and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.5 And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP6 and others,7 the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector8 that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Northern Cross invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Northern Cross.
- Assuming that Northern Cross may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---

February 4, 2019

Michael G. O’Grady, President and CEO
Northern Trust Corporation
50 South LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60603

Dear Mr. O’Grady,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Northern Trust is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss, as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture. The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs. And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP and others, the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations.9 It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Northern Trust invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Northern Trust.
- Assuming that Northern Trust may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---

February 4, 2019

Charles F. Lowrey, CEO
Prudential Financial
751 Broad Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Dear Mr. Lowrey,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Prudential is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector – an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,\(^1\) as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.\(^2\) The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year – roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.\(^3\)

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations\(^4\) and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.\(^5\) And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP\(^6\) and others,\(^7\) the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector\(^8\) that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---


\(^3\) http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/sbi/eng/21.pdf


Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:
- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Prudential invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Prudential.
- Assuming that Prudential may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---

February 4, 2019

Roger Ferguson, President and CEO
TIAA-CREF
730 Third Ave
New York, NY 10017

Dear Mr. Ferguson,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, TIAA-CREF is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss, as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture. The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs. And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP and others, the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

---

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which TIAA-CREF invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for TIAA-CREF.
- Assuming that TIAA-CREF may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---

February 4, 2019

Mortimer J. Buckley, President and CEO
Vanguard
100 Vanguard Boulevard, V26
Malvern, PA 19355

Dear Mr. Buckley,

We write to inquire about your firm’s approach to managing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks related to investments exposed to tropical deforestation and associated human rights issues. In particular, we are concerned that some companies in your portfolio may not be living up to their commitments to address deforestation in their supply chain—if they have made such commitments at all.

According to publicly available data, Vanguard is among the top U.S. asset managers exposed to companies active in the palm oil sector—an industry sector widely known to be a leading cause of tropical deforestation and biodiversity loss,1 as well as associated climate impacts. Roughly 12 percent of total greenhouse gas emissions globally are caused by deforestation, and some 80 percent of global deforestation is driven by agriculture.2 The majority of this deforestation, including the conversion of Southeast Asia’s carbon-rich peat lands, is caused by industrial-scale commodity crops, with palm oil being the primary driver. In Indonesia alone, the palm oil sector generates an estimated 250 million tons of carbon dioxide per year—roughly equivalent to the annual emissions of Spain.3

Across the tropics, in regions as varied as West Africa, Central America and Southeast Asia, the palm oil sector is also widely linked to human rights violations4 and social conflict, both of which are associated with significant material costs.5 And, as noted by INTERPOL, UNEP6 and others,7 the palm oil sector is associated with forest crimes such as illegal logging. These social and governance issues and the related sustainability concerns have made some financial sector entities increasingly recognize the palm oil sector as a high-risk sector8 that bears enhanced due diligence in order to manage and mitigate risks.

Increasingly, direct environmental factors and human rights considerations – both magnified by climate change – drive business risks and opportunities. Consequently, financiers and investors are increasingly recognizing the materiality of risks associated with exposure to climate change, environmental destruction, and human rights violations. It is our view that addressing risks from deforestation is in line with your fiduciary responsibility. As such, we are interested in learning what due diligence and risk management procedures your firm has put in place to address and mitigate these risks for both active investments and passively managed funds.

We respectfully request that you respond to the following questions by March 1, 2019:

- What is your policy for conducting due diligence on the environmental, social, and governance activities for the firms in which Vanguard invests?
- Do you have a policy specifically related to companies’ deforestation-related activities? If so, please provide details, and if not, what are your plans for instituting such a policy in the future?
- Have you ever excluded a company from your portfolio due exclusively to environmental, social, or governance risks? If so, please explain the factors that led to this decision, and if not, please elaborate on what ESG activities would pose an unacceptable risk for Vanguard.
- Assuming that Vanguard may hold many of these companies through passive index funds, do you make provisions to apply ESG due diligence to holdings through such funds?

Sincerely,

BRIAN SCHATZ
United States Senator

SHELDON WHITEHOUSE
United States Senator

TOM UDALL
United States Senator

ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

EDWARD J. MARKEY
United States Senator

JEFFREY A. MERKLEY
United States Senator

RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
United States Senator

BERNARD SANDERS
United States Senator

---